

Appendix 3

Summary of responses to consultation exercise on possible saturation policy for Borough & Bankside area						
Name	Address	Status	Q1	Q2	Q3	Comments
Responsible Authorities						
Environmental Protection Team	Community Safety & Enforcement, The Chaplin Centre, Thurlow Street, SE77PR	Responsible authority for noise		No		I consider that the proposed boundary of the Borough and Bankside saturation area is unworkable and may cause administrative problems in the future. I suggest the southern boundary is extended eastwards to include both sides of Crucifix Lane following this round northwards along Druid Street to Tooley Street. There are then two options. 1. Tooley Street to Tower Bridge Road and then centre line of river or 2. Cross Tooley Street and north along Weavers Lane to river including City Hall. This would then enable the inclusion of all premises in More London.
Planning Policy Team	Southwark Council	Responsible authority for planning				Detailed response provided (see main report)
Licensed trades						
Richard Anderson	Bankside House, Sumner Street, SE1 9JA	Personal licence holder / local resident / represents local business	Yes	Yes	Yes	No comment.
R M Arthur	Kipling Street, SE1 3RU	Premises / personal licence holder involved in local business and local resident	No			I do not believe that the area is over saturated with licences. Any new licences granted are filling the holes left by closed pubs. I note that para 6 states that there are only 4 hotels in the area and I feel this is understated.
Andrew Keeshaw of the Charles Dickens	Union Street, SE1 0LH	Premises licence holder involved with a local business	No	No	No	No comment.
Clink Street Properties Ltd	Winchester Walk, SE1 9AQ	Represent local business	No			As a local business we do not agree with the proposed saturation policy as we think it is not needed and will have a negative effect on the area. The aim for the area and local business should be to try and attract people into the area and a saturation policy would have the opposite effect. We oppose the proposed saturation policy.
Nigel Guy, Guy's Gastro Ltd	The Bridge Lounge, Tooley Street, SE1 2TZ	Premises and personal licence holder involved with a local business	No			Having viewed the figures and the overall percentages, the actual nos of incidents appear to be relatively low - notwithstanding the impact on each individual involved. Whilst appreciating the potential of an increase in offences if new licenses are granted, refusing a new licence will not address the existing problems per se. It is my belief that all the relevant authorities have sufficient "tools" and penalties at their disposal to address the issues coming from badly managed businesses. These sanctions should be used where appropriate. I have a concern that imposing a "saturation policy" will be a barrier to good businesses without addressing the bad businesses.
P Diment, Meson Don Felipe	The Cut, SE1 8LF	Personal licence holder involved in local business	No			Looking at the figures in your chart whilst the last period figures are high the rest of the periods since the Act was introduced are generally lower than before the Act. They are hardly proof of increased problems.

Appendix 3

Ryan McWhinney, The Ring	Blackfriars Road, SE1 8HA	Premises licence holder	Yes	Yes	Yes	No comment.
J O Sims Ltd	Winchester Walk, SE1 9AQ	Local business	No			We are a local business and have seen the proposed saturation policy documentation. We object to the proposal as it is completely unnecessary and will decrease the liveliness of the area and the enjoyment of local residents and businesses. The proposed area is extremely large and a saturation policy will impose unnecessary restriction on licensing, which is perfectly well managed already. We would like to object to the proposed saturation policy and would urge the council not to implement this as it is not appropriate, nor is it necessary.
Matthew Knight, Southwark Cathedral	London Bridge, SE1 9DA	Premises licence holder	Yes	No	Yes	The Cathedral Chapter are broadly supportive of the plans for a saturation area. However, we wish to make the following comments. There has been a noticeable increase in disruption to the flow of traffic caused by crowds of drinkers from busy pubs spilling out into the streets, especially around Borough Market. Large screen football match screenings encourage rival groups to congregate which can lead to disorderly behaviour. Smokers forced to smoke outside of licensed premises are causing litter from discarded cigarette butts on the pavement. For all these reasons we feel a saturation policy will be a good thing. The Chapter does, however, support the licensing of new premises in the area as long as this brings benefits (and not problems) to the local area. We have a comment on the boundary of the proposed saturation area. We feel the western boundary along Blackfriars Road is rather arbitrary and would be better placed if it ran along the boundary of the borough / boundary of Lambeth.
Bob Warrior, Southwark Rose Hotel	Southwark Bridge Road, SE1 9HH	Premises and personal licence holder	Yes	Yes	Yes	No comment.
Isaac Toby, The Trinity PH	Borough High Street, SE1	Premises / personal licence holder	Yes	Yes	Yes	No comment.
Bharat Patel, Union Newsagent	Union Street, SE1 1SG	Premises / personal licence holder involved in a local business	No			No comment.
Rupert Elwood, Vinopolis World of Wine	Bank End, SE1 9JN	Premises / personal licence holder involved in local business	No			I believe the current licensing obligation in the Licensing Act 2003 covers all the necessary issues related to the area. I would be more in favour of better monitoring of these objectives / obligations. It seems unfair to penalise those who currently act appropriately or can demonstrate they can act appropriately for the sake of those who disregard the licensing objectives.
Helen Santer, Director of Operations, Waterloo Quarter Business Alliance	Lower Marsh, SE1 7RG	Representative body	No	No	No	Detailed response provided and appended
Residents						
George Arkless	Potter Street, SE1 4UX	Resident	Yes	Yes	Yes	No comment
Clare Armstrong	Russell Lodge, Spurgeon Street, SE1	Resident representing local residents	Yes	No	Yes	The proposed boundaries should be extended to include the whole of Chaucer ward to the east. I sit on the Chaucer Safer Neighbourhoods ward

Appendix 3

	4YJ					panel so have been involved in discussions with the local SNT and with other local residents regarding the saturation policy proposals and their implications.
Catherine Bowman, The Bankside Residents Forum	The Community Space, Great Guildford Street, SE1 0FD	Representative body	Yes	Yes	Yes	No comment
Robert Edwards	Amigo House, Morley Street, SE1 7QE	Resident	Yes	Yes	Yes	No comment.
Patricia Gelthoof	Borough High Street, SE1 1JH	Resident / Involved in a local business	Yes	No	Yes	Q2 - I would go beyond Borough Road and include Gaunt Street where the Ministry of Sound is as a lot of drunk people go from Belushi's - Borough High Street to the Ministry of Sound. I am surprised Bermondsey Street is not included. If the council and the police do not have the resources to police / control the area between 23.00 and 5.30am I would suggest that business rates from the premises benefitting from alcohol sales at night should be measured and partly spent on - providing toilets so people have a place to go rather than in the street - cleaning the streets (in particular vomit) - policing the area i.e. keeping down rowdy behaviour - fining / arresting very drunk people.
Mrs Chris Hartup	Rowland Hill House, SE1	Resident	Yes	Yes	Yes	I listened carefully and indeed asked a couple of questions at the Consultation / Q&A meeting at St George the Martyr last night. It seems to me that the reality is that a saturation policy won't make a scrap of difference to the problems we suffer in our area but it will empower our local residents to feel they are making a difference. In the Nelson Square area, we suffer the effects of drunken behaviour almost daily, loud rowdy behaviour, vomit, broken bottles, nuisance, the list can go on but your Head of Licensing MR Richard Parkins knows all the issues as he has attended meetings arranged by Cllr Danny McCarthy and Cllr David Noakes where numerous T&RAs raised the problems caused not just by the effects of alcohol but by the number of shelters for the homeless in our immediate area as well as the people who hang around after treatment at the drug and alcohol abuse centre in Blackfriars Road. No, we cannot isolate a particular premises. No, we cannot say where the drinkers come from and no, we don't know where they buy their cheap alcohol. Perhaps the members of your Licensing Committee would like to be woken up in the early hours of a Saturday or Sunday morning with drunken flotsam from the late night bars and clubs using traffic cones as megaphones and see how they like their sleep being disturbed
Leigh Hatts	Top Flat, Dolben Street, SE1	Resident	Yes	No	Yes	The area to the west of Blackfriars Road should be brought into the proposed boundary.
Liz Justice	Rowland Hill Home, Union Street, SE1 0LT	Resident	Yes	No	Yes	It would help to include Nelson Square because the gardens are immediately in the walkway to Southwark Station and designated saturation zone should

Appendix 3

						include obvious slots like this. Specifically there would be nothing to stop someone wanting a café with a licence putting in for space in those gardens. NSGCA have made reports of drunk behaviour and drug use in the Square because this remains one of the largest residential areas in this northern area of the borough.
Michelle Lovric (included with Ms Lovrics response is a list of 70 further names of local residents who are stated to be supporters of a saturation policy for Borough and Bankside together with some sample emails)	Winchester Wharf, Clink Street, SE1 9DL	Resident representing residents	Yes	Yes	No	(Ms Lovric) All those mentioned but please add amusement arcades. Quotes from sample emails - (Wendy and Michael Smith, Horseshoe Wharf, Clink Street) We wholly support the proposal that Clink street and environs be designated a saturation area if that means putting a stop to the constant approval of an ever increasing number of bars, cafes and restaurants close to residential apartments; and associated late night / early evening noise levels, refuse and health standards which I doubt that planning officers or committee members would find acceptable next to their own homes or affecting their own families. (Peter Lawrence Clink Wharf Clink Street) Excellent suggestion, please include me in the list as being in favour of the saturation area. (John and Yumi Ross Clink Wharf Clink Street) Full support. The list of 70 names provided gives names of residents of Horseshoe Wharf, Pickfords Wharf, Little Winchester Wharf, Clink Wharf and Victor Wharf. All Clink Street.
Deidre McGale	Municiple Street, SE1 4DN	States represents residents	Yes	Yes	Yes	No comment.
Camille McGibbon	Pickfords Wharf, Clink Street, SE1 9DG	Resident	Yes	No	Yes	The west border should be extended to the Borough of Lambeth border. Otherwise a small strip between Lambeth and Bankside will become vulnerable. I strongly support a saturation policy in that it may have a deterrent effect on the many A3 applications. It also signals to applicants that their premises and behaviour will / could be more stringently scrutinised.
Marion Marples	Dolben Street, SE1 0UQ	Resident involved in a local business	Yes	No	Yes	Western boundary - should be taken up to Lambeth / Southwark boundary for following reasons 1) Will create a "free for all" zone between boundaries with possible increase of applicants for "non-saturation zone; 2) Lambeth already has controls on alcohol consumption / street drinking - need to have joined up thinking. Saturation zone - I consider a saturation zone policy would be useful because the current situation already leads to problems which cannot be policed / dealt with by enforcement due to lack of resources. More premises granted licences will serve to make further problems of violence against the person / nuisance / safety / noise more rather than less likely. Targeting of drinkers at licensed premises in Borough High street by gangs from outside area. The large number of licensed premises in the area becomes a magnet for both drinkers (local visitors) and criminal gangs. Not to be encouraged further.
Alan Marsh	Borough High Street, SE1 1JH	Resident / Involved in a local business	Yes	Yes	Yes	The measures proposed seem to be entirely appropriate
David E Mills	Tait House, Greet Street, SE1 8NA	Resident	Yes	No	No	I wish to make representation for the saturation boundary to be amended. The present proposal ends along Blackfriars Road and thus misses out a section of Southwark that has a high proportion of licensed premises. I

Appendix 3

						should like the boundary to follow the Southwark / Lambeth boundary Blackfriars Road - Stanford Street - Hatfield's Street - The Cut - Short Street Ufford Street - Blackfriars Road. This area would include four public houses, two bars, four licensed restaurants, three licensed convenience stores. There is also application for another licensed restaurant. Some of these outlets have extended licences. The proposed extension would embrace any new development that takes place on the area Stanford Street - Paris Gardens - Colombo Street - Blackfriars Road. Premises that should be affected by the zone should be convenience stores that sell alcohol 24/7 and bars / restaurants that require a licence until 01h30.
Paul Murphy	Sumner Buildings, Sumner Street, SE1 9JY	Resident	Yes	Yes	Yes	No comment
Maria Sawyers of Appleworth House TMC	Appleworth House, Nelson Square, SE1 0P2	Representative body	Yes	No	Yes	I fully agree with all the area that you intend this saturation policy to cover. But myself and all of the residents of Appleworth House TMC feel it should be extended to include all the streets down to St George's Circus and up to Union Street including Nelson Square. There are so many premises selling alcohol in our area and so many hostels we have an ongoing problem with drunks congregating in our square and gardens, which stops families and friends making use of the facilities that should be for them.
J V O Sims	Victor Wharf, Clink Street, SE1	Resident	No			We feel there is no need for a saturation policy as more restaurants & bars only adds to the enjoyment of local residents. The more restaurants and bars there are in the local area, the more life one has. As a local resident I object to the proposed saturation policy as I feel it is completely unnecessary and would be detrimental to the continued development of this area of Southwark.
David Smith	Benson House. Hatfields, SE1 8DQ	Resident	Yes	No	Yes	Q1 - Absolutely necessary in order to protect my human rights as set out in the council policy - particularly my right to enjoyment of privacy and lack of nuisance in my home. Q2 - The boundary to the west should follow the boundary / borders of Southwark / Lambeth thus including such premises on Isabella Street as ev - Jacks Bar - Thai Silk, future student accommodation and bars on Hatfields / Paris Gardens, supermarkets and Tas and tapas bars in the The Cut.
Davy Williams	Rowland Hill House, Nelson Square Gardens, SE1 0L4	Resident	Yes	Yes	Yes	We have to put up with drunken behaviour here, in Nelson Square. It could be any time of day or night. Also from about 9.30am Blackfriars Road and outside of the abuse centre. At public meeting on 18 May it was mentioned. Drunks could get drunk elsewhere and come over to our district, after. Well that may be true but they can top up here for sure. I believe it's a positive step to have a saturation area. We have too many drug centres and hostels in such a small area. I'm not sure what the answer is. However, let's try a saturation area.
Other						
Councillor Adele Morris	C/O The Town Hall,	Elected member	Yes	No	No	I think that the borough boundary should be the end of the western area as

Appendix 3

	Peckham Road, SE5 8UB					this will mean that Joan Street and The Cut are included. I think it should apply to all new licensed premises, regardless of their category. We need to offer protection to the local residents from the disturbance and anti-social behaviour caused by too many late night licences.
Councillor David Noakes	C/O The Town Hall, Peckham Road, SE5 8UB	Elected member	Yes	No	No	Q2 - I believe the boundaries on the western side should include both sides of Blackfriars Road and the Southwark part of The Cut (up until Hatfields on the north side of the street and Short Street on the south side of the street) and Joan Street. Q3 - I believe hotels, theatres and vessels should also be included. General comments - Over the 3 years that I have been a ward councillor for Cathedral ward I have noticed a rise in complaints and concerns from residents about ASB and nuisance associated with the increasing growth of licensed premises (both on and off) in the Borough and Bankside area. These concerns have centred around 1) The nuisance / ASB / crime impact on local residents of customers visiting a growing number of bars, restaurants, cafés and other licensed premises particularly in 2 areas - around Borough Market (Stoney Street, Clink Street etc) and The Cut / Joan Street; and 2) A growing problem with street drinkers congregating and causing nuisance / ASB and crime and its impact on residents around Blackfriars Road (particularly around the junctions of The Cut and Webber Row), Flat Iron Square, Mint Street Park, Borough High Street and Clink Street. Further the impact of the growing number of licensed premises and off licences on the quality of lives of local residents is partially reflected in the trend of increases in recent half-yearly statistics / or when compared to the previous 12 months in VAP, disorder / rowdiness figures and recorded incidents of nuisance. In conclusion, I believe the introduction of a saturation policy in the Borough and Bankside area will help Southwark Council, as the licensing authority, to strengthen its position in meeting its four licensing objectives.
Amanda Millard, Group Director (Operations), Guys and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust	Counting House, Guy's Hospital, Great Maze Pond, SE1 9RT	NHS	Yes	Yes	Yes	Guys and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust support the proposal to introduce a saturation policy in the area. The current situation has led to disturbances to patients at Guy's Hospital in the early hours of the morning and has caused security issues on site. We are grateful for the support from Mr Parkins in ensuring that we can comment on the proposal and welcome it's introduction and the reduction in crime, disorder and nuisance around the area of Guy's Hospital.
Valerie Shawcross	C/O City Hall, SE1	London Assembly Member	Yes	Yes	Yes	I would strongly welcome the creation of a saturation zone around the Bankside area. I am aware that residents are continually stressed by the presence of drunks, street drinkers and drug abusers in the area and that inconsiderate licensed premises allow customers to spill onto the street. I am particularly concerned about cheap alcohol available at all hours from small supermarkets and the attraction this provides for rough sleepers in the area. The area has many thousands of residents and the night-time economy is impacting negatively upon them.